#### **4.1 INTRODUCTION**

#### ENTROPY, SOURCE ENCODING THEOREM

#### Source encoding theorem

The discrete memory less source of entropy H(X), the average code word length (L) for any distortion less source encoding is bounded.

Code redundancy is the measure of redundancy bits in the encoded message sequence.

Mutual information is the amount of information transferred when Xi is transmitted and Yi is received. It is represented by I(Xi,Yi) .The average mutual information is defined as the amount of source information gain per received symbol.

A block code of length n and 2k code words is called a linear (n, k) code if and only if its 2k code words form a k-dimensional subspace of the vector space of all the n-tuples over the field GF(2). The message occurring frequently can be assigned short code words, whereas message which occur rarely are assigned long code word, such coding is called variable length coding.

The efficient representation of data generated by a discrete source is known as source encoding. This device that performs this representation is called source encoder.

The types of error control method

- Error detection and retransmission
- Error detection and correction

Channel capacity is defined as the maximum of the mutual information that may be transmitted through the channel.

The needs for encoding

- To improve the efficiency of communication
- To improve the transmission quality

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

The entropy of a source is a measure of the average amount of information per source symbol in a long message. Channel coding theorem is applied for discrete memory less additive white gaussian noise channels.

The advantages of Shannon fano coding

- 1. Reduced bandwidth
- 2. Reduced noise
- 3. It can be used for error detection and correction.

#### The objectives of cyclic codes :

Encoding and syndrome calculations can be easily implemented by using simple shift register with feedback connection It is possible to design codes having useful error correction properties

#### **Source Coding Theorem**

The **source coding theorem** shows that (in the limit, as the length of a stream of independent and identically-distributed random variable (data tends to infinity) it is impossible to compress the data such that the code rate (average number of bits per symbol) is less than the Shannon entropy of the source, without it being virtually certain that information will be lost. However it is possible to get the code rate arbitrarily close to the Shannon entropy, with negligible probability of loss.

#### **Proof: Source coding theorem**

Given X is an <u>i.i.d.</u> source, its time series  $X_1, ..., X_n$  is i.i.d. with entropy H(X) in the discrete-valued case and differential entropy in the continuous-valued case. The Source coding theorem states that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  for any rate larger than the entropy of the source, there is large enough n and an encoder that takes n i.i.d. repetition of the source,  $X^{1:n}$ , and maps it to  $n(H(X) + \varepsilon)$  binary bits such that the source symbols  $X^{1:n}$  are recoverable from the binary bits with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$ .

**Proof of Achievability.** Fix some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let

 $p(x_1,...,x_n) = \Pr[X_1 = x_1,...,X_n = x_n].$ 

The typical set, *Aɛn*, is defined as follows:

$$A_n^{\varepsilon} = \left\{ (x_1, \cdots, x_n) : \left| -\frac{1}{n} \log p(x_1, \cdots, x_n) - H_n(X) \right| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The Asymptotic Equipartition Property (AEP) shows that for large enough n, the probability that a sequence generated by the source lies in the typical set,  $A\varepsilon n$ , as defined approaches one. In particular there for large enough n,

 $P(A_n^{\varepsilon}) > 1 - \varepsilon_{\text{(See AEP for a proof):}}$ 

The definition of typical sets implies that those sequences that lie in the typical set satisfy:

$$2^{-n(H(X)+\varepsilon)} \le p(x_1,\cdots,x_n) \le 2^{-n(H(X)-\varepsilon)}$$

Note that:

- The probability of a sequence from X being drawn from  $A\varepsilon$ n is greater than  $1 - \varepsilon$ .
- $|A_n^{\varepsilon}| \le 2^{n(H(X)+\varepsilon)}$  since the probability of the whole set  $A\varepsilon$  *n* is at most one.
- $|A_n^{\varepsilon}| \ge (1-\varepsilon)2^{n(H(X)-\varepsilon)}$ . For the proof, use the upper bound on the probability

of each term in typical set and the lower bound on the probability of the whole set  $A\varepsilon$ *n*.

Since  $|A_n^{\varepsilon}| \leq 2^{n(H(X)+\varepsilon)}$ ,  $n.(H(X) + \varepsilon)_{\text{bits are enough to point to any string in this set.}}$ 

The encoding algorithm: The encoder checks if the input sequence lies within the typical set; if yes, it outputs the index of the input sequence within the typical set; if not, the encoder outputs an arbitrary  $n(H(X) + \varepsilon)$  digit number. As long as the input sequence lies within the typical set (with probability at least 1-  $\varepsilon$ ), the encoder doesn't make any error. So, the probability of error of the encoder is bounded above by  $\varepsilon$ .

**Proof of Converse.** The converse is proved by showing that any set of size smaller than  $A\varepsilon$ 

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

n (in the sense of exponent) would cover a set of probability bounded away from 1.

#### **Proof: Source coding theorem for symbol codes**

For  $1 \le i \le n$  let  $s_i$  denote the word length of each possible  $x_i$ . Define  $q_i = a^{-s_i}/C$ , where *C* is chosen so that  $q_1 + ... + q_n = 1$ . Then

$$H(X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 p_i$$
  

$$\leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 q_i$$
  

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 a^{-s_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 C$$
  

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_2 a^{-s_i} + \log_2 C$$
  

$$\leq -\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i p_i \log_2 a$$
  

$$\leq \mathbb{E}S \log_2 a$$

where the second line follows from Gibbs' inequality and the fifth line follows from Kraft's inequality:

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a^{-s_i} \le 1$$

so log  $C \leq 0$ .

For the second inequality we may set

$$s_i = \lceil -\log_a p_i \rceil$$

so that

$$-\log_a p_i \le s_i < -\log_a p_i + 1$$

and so

$$a^{-s_i} \le p_i$$

and

$$\sum a^{-s_i} \le \sum p_i = 1$$

and so by Kraft's inequality there exists a prefix-free code having those word lengths.

Thus the minimal *S*  

$$\mathbb{E}S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} s_{i}$$

$$< \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} (-\log_{a} p_{i} + 1)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} -p_{i} \frac{\log_{2} p_{i}}{\log_{2} a} + 1$$

$$= \frac{H(X)}{\log_{2} a} + 1$$

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

#### **4.2 CODING TECHNIQUES**

#### **Shannon Fano Coding Techniques**

In the field of data compression, **Shannon-Fano coding** is a suboptimal technique for constructing a prefix code based on a set of symbols and their probabilities (estimated or measured).

In Shannon-Fano coding, the symbols are arranged in order from most probable to least probable, and then divided into two sets whose total probabilities are as close as possible to being equal.All symbols then have the first digits of their codes assigned; symbols in the first set receive "0" and symbols in the second set receive "1". As long as any sets with more than one member remain, the same process is repeated on those sets, to determine successive digits of their codes. When a set has been reduced to one symbol, of course, this means the symbol's code is complete and will not form the prefix of any other symbol's code.

The algorithm works, and it produces fairly efficient variable-length encodings; when the two smaller sets produced by a partitioning are in fact of equal probability, the one bit of information used to distinguish them is used most efficiently. Unfortunately, Shannon-Fano does not always produce optimal prefix codes; the set of probabilities  $\{0.35, 0.17, 0.17, 0.16, 0.15\}$  is an example of one that will be assigned

#### Shannon-Fano Algorithm

A Shannon-Fano tree is built according to a specification designed to define an effective code table. The actual algorithm is simple:

1. For a given list of symbols, develop a corresponding list of probabilities or frequency counts so that each symbol' known.

2. Sort the lists of symbols according to frequency, with the most frequently occurring symbols at the left and the least common at the right.

1. Divide the list into two parts, with the total frequency counts of the left half being as close to the total of the right as possible

2. The left half of the list is assigned the binary digit 0, and the right half is assigned the digit 1. This means that the codes for the symbols in the first half will all start with 0, and the codes in the second half will all start with 1.

3. Recursively apply the steps 3 and 4 to each of the two halves, subdividing groups and adding bits to the codes until each symbol has become a corresponding code leaf on the tree.

#### Huffmann Coding Techniques

**Huffman coding** is an entropy encoding algorithm used for lossless data compression. The term refers to the use of a variable-length code table for encoding a source symbol (such as a character in a file)

Huffman coding uses a specific method for choosing the representation for each symbol, resulting in a prefix code (sometimes called "prefix-free codes") (that is, the bit string representing some particular symbol is never a prefix of the bit string representing any other symbol) that expresses the most common characters using shorter strings of bits than are used for less common source symbols. Huffman was able to design the most efficient compression method *of this type*: no other mapping of individual source symbols to unique strings of bits will produce a smaller average output size when the actual symbol frequencies agree with those used to create the code.

Although Huffman coding is optimal for a symbol-by-symbol coding (i.e. a stream of unrelated symbols) with a known input probability distribution, its optimality can sometimes accidentally be over-stated. For example, arithmetic coding and LZW coding often have better compression capability.

#### Given

A set of symbols and their weights (usually proportional to probabilities).

#### Find

A prefix-free binary code (a set of codewords) with minimum expected codeword length (equivalently, a tree with minimum weighted path length).

#### Input.

Alphabet , which is the symbol alphabet of size n. Set , which is the set of the (positive) symbol weights (usually proportional to probabilities), i.e. .

#### Output.

Code , which is the set of (binary) codewords, where  $c_i$  is the codeword for .

#### Goal.

Let be the weighted path length of code C. Condition: for any code .

For any code that is *biunique*, meaning that the code is *uniquely decodable*, the sum of the probability budgets across all symbols is always less than or equal to one. In this example, the sum is strictly equal to one; as a result, the code is termed a *complete* code. If this is not the case, you can always derive an equivalent code by adding extra symbols (with associated null probabilities), to make the code complete while keeping it *biunique*. In general, a Huffman code need not be unique, but it is always one of the codes minimizing L(C).

#### **MUTUAL INFORMATION**

On an average we require H(X) bits of information to specify one input symbol. However, if we are allowed to observe the output symbol produced by that input, we require, then, only H(X|Y) bits of information to specify the input symbol. Accordingly, we come to the conclusion, that on an average, observation of a single output provides with [H(X) - H(X|Y)]

$$I(X, Y) \triangleq H(X) - H(X/Y).$$

Notice that in spite of the variations in the source probabilities,  $p(x_k)$  (may be due to noise in the channel), certain probabilistic information regarding the state of the input is available, once the conditional probability  $p(x_k/y_j)$  is computed at the receiver end. The difference between the initial uncertainty of the source symbol  $x_k$ , i.e. log  $1/p(x_k)$  and the final uncertainty about the same source symbol  $x_k$ , after receiving  $y_j$ , i.e. log $1/p(x_k/y_j)$  is the information gained through the channel. This difference we call as the mutual information between the symbols  $x_k$  and  $y_j$ . Thus

Thus,  

$$I(x_j, y_k) = \log \frac{1}{P(x_j)} - \log \frac{1}{P(x_j/y_k)} = \log \frac{P(x_j/y_k)}{P(x_j)}$$

$$I(x_j, y_k) = \log \frac{P(x_j, y_k)}{P(x_j) \cdot P(y_k)}$$
Notice that,  

$$I(x_j) = I(x_j, x_j) = \log \frac{P(x_j/x_j)}{P(x_j)} = \log \frac{1}{P(x_j)}$$

This is the definition with which we started our discussion on information theory. Accordingly  $I(x_k)$  is also referred to as 'Self Information'.

#### EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

...

Accordingly,  $I(x_j)$  is also called 'self-information'.

By Bayes' rule,	$\frac{P(x_j, y_k)}{P(x_j)} = P(y_k/x_j)$
<b>.</b> .	$I(x_j, y_k) = \log \frac{P(y_k/x_j)}{P(y_k)}$
	$I(x_j, y_k) = I(y_k) - I(y_k/x_j).$
<i>.</i>	$I(x_j, y_k) = I(y_k, x_j).$

i.e.,

# Eq (4.22) simply means that "the Mutual information" is symmetrical with respect to its arguments.i.e.

 $I(x_k, y_j) = I(y_j, x_k)$ 

Averaging Eq. (4.21*b*) over all admissible characters  $x_k$  and  $y_j$ , we obtain the average information gain of the receiver:

$$I(X, Y) = E \{I(x_k, y_j)\}$$

$$I(X, Y) = E[I(x_j, y_k)]$$

$$E[X] \text{ denotes average of } X]$$

$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} I(x, y) P(x, y).$$

$$I(X, Y) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \log \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(X) \cdot P(Y)} \qquad \dots (2.2)$$

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

From Eqn. (2.2), we have,

1. 
$$I(X, Y) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \left[ \log \frac{P(X/Y)}{P(X)} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \left[ \log \frac{1}{P(X)} - \log \frac{1}{P(X/Y)} \right]$$
$$\therefore \qquad I(X, Y) = H(X) - H(X/Y).$$
2. 
$$I(X, Y) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \left[ \log \frac{P(Y/X)}{P(Y)} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \left[ \log \frac{1}{P(Y)} - \log \frac{1}{P(Y/X)} \right]$$
$$\therefore \qquad I(X/Y) = H(Y) - H(Y/X).$$
3. 
$$I(X, Y) = \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \log \left[ \frac{P(X, Y)}{P(X) \cdot P(Y)} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X) \cdot P(Y)}$$
$$= \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \log \frac{1}{P(X)} + \sum_{x} \sum_{y} P(X, Y) \log \frac{1}{P(Y)}$$
$$\therefore \qquad I(X, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y) - H(X, Y).$$
$$\therefore \qquad I(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y).$$

#### Or I(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)

Further, we conclude that, — even though for a particular received symbol, yj, H(X) - H(X | Yj) may be negative, when all the admissible output symbols are covered, the average mutual information is always non-negative. That is to say, we cannot loose information on an average by observing the output of a channel. An easy method, of remembering the various relationships, is given in Fig 4.2.Although the diagram resembles a Venn-diagram, it is not, and the diagram is only a tool to remember the relationships. That is all. You cannot use this diagram for proving any result.

The entropy of X is represented by the circle on the left and that of Y by the circle on the right. The overlap between the two circles (dark gray) is the mutual information so that the remaining (light gray) portions of H(X) and H(Y) represent respective equivocations. Thus we have

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

H(X | Y) = H(X) - I(X, Y) and H(Y | X) = H(Y) - I(X, Y)

The joint entropy H(X,Y) is the sum of H(X) and H(Y) except for the fact that the overlap is added twice so that

H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - I(X, Y)

Also observe H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)

= H(Y) + H(X | Y)

For the **JPM** given by I(X, Y) = 0.760751505 bits / sym

# binils.com

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

#### **<u>4.3. ENTROPY</u>**

It is clear that all the probabilities encountered in a two dimensional communication system could be derived from the JPM. While we can compare the JPM, therefore, to the impedance or admittance matrices of an n-port electric network in giving a unique description of the system under consideration, notice that the JPM in general, need not necessarily be a square matrix and even if it is so, it need not be symmetric. We define the following entropies, which can be directly computed from the JPM.

$$H(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k)}$$

Taking the average of the above entropy function for all admissible characters received, we have the average — conditional Entropy" or "Equivocation":

$$H(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k)}$$
(4.10)

Similarly, 
$$H(Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(y_j)}$$
 (4.11)

Next, from the definition of the conditional probability we have:

#### **EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING**

$$P\{X = x_k | Y = y_j\} = \frac{P\{X = x_k, Y = y_j\}}{P\{Y = y_j\}}$$
  
i.e.,  $p(x_k | y_j) = p(x_k, y_j) / p(y_j)$ 

Then 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k | y_j) = \frac{1}{p(y_j)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k, y_j) = \frac{1}{p(y_j)} p(y_j) = 1$$
 (4.12)

Thus, the set  $[X | y_j] = \{x_1 | y_j, x_2 | y_j, x_m | y_j\}; P[X | y_j] = \{p(x_1 | y_j), p(x_2 | y_j), p(x_m | y_j)\}$ , forms a complete finite scheme and an entropy function may therefore be defined for this scheme as below:

$$H(X \mid y_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m p(x_k \mid y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k \mid y_j)}.$$

Taking the average of the above entropy function for all admissible characters received, we have the average "conditional Entropy" or "Equivocation":

$$H(X | Y) = E \{H(X | y_j)\}_j$$
  

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(y_j) H(X | y_j)$$
  

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(y_j) \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k | y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k | y_j)}$$
  

$$H(X | Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k | y_j)} \qquad (4.13)$$

Or

Eq (4.13) specifies the "Equivocation ". It specifies the average amount of information needed to specify an input character provided we are allowed to make an observation of the output produced by that input. Similarly one can define the conditional entropy H(Y | X) by:

$$H(Y \mid X) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(y_j \mid x_k)}$$
(4.14)

#### EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Observe that the manipulations, ' The entropy you want is simply the double summation of joint probability multiplied by logarithm of the reciprocal of the probability of interest". For example, if you want joint entropy, then the probability of interest will be joint probability. If you want source entropy, probability of interest will be the source probability. If you want the equivocation or conditional entropy, H (X|Y) then probability of interest will be the conditional probability p (xK |yj) and so on.

All the five entropies so defined are all inter-related. For example, We have

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{k \ j} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(y_j | x_k)}$$
  
Since,  $\frac{1}{p(y_j | x_k)} = \frac{p(x_k)}{p(x_k, y_j)}$   
We can straight away write: **DIS COM**  
$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{k \ j} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(y_j | x_k)} - \sum_{k \ j} p(x_k, y_j) \log \frac{1}{p(x_k)}$$
  
Or  $H(Y|X) = H(X, Y) - H(X)$   
That is:  $H(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$  .....(4.15)

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Similarly, you can show: H(X, Y) = H(Y) + H(X | Y) (4.16) Consider H(X) - H(X | Y). We have:

$$H(X) - H(X|Y) = \sum_{k} \sum_{j} p(x_{k}, y_{j}) \left\{ log \frac{1}{p(x_{k})} - log \frac{1}{p(x_{k} | y_{j})} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{k} \sum_{j} p(x_{k}, y_{j}) log \frac{p(x_{k}, y_{j})}{p(x_{k}) \cdot p(y_{j})} \qquad (4.17)$$

Using the logarithm inequality derived earlier, you can write the above equation as:

$$H(X) - H(X|Y) = \log e \sum_{k \neq j} p(x_k, y_j) \ln \frac{p(x_k, y_j)}{p(x_k) \cdot p(y_j)}$$

$$\geq \log e \sum_{k \neq j} p(x_k, y_j) \left\{ 1 - \frac{p(x_k) \cdot p(y_j)}{p(x_k, y_j)} \right\}$$

$$\geq \log e \left\{ \sum_{k \neq j} p(x_k, y_j) - \sum_{k \neq j} p(xk) \cdot p(yj) \right\}$$

$$\geq \log e \left\{ \sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{j} p(x_k, y_j) - \sum_{k \neq j} p(xk) \cdot \sum_{j} p(yj) \right\}$$
Because 
$$\sum_{k \neq j} \sum_{j} p(x_k, y_j) = \sum_{k \neq j} p(x_k) = \sum_{j} p(y_j) = 1.$$
 Thus it follows that:
$$H(X) \geq H(X|Y) \qquad (4.18)$$
Similarly, 
$$H(Y) \geq H(Y|X) \qquad (4.19)$$

Equality in Eq (4.18) &Eq (4.19) holds iffy P  $(x_k, y_j) = p(x_k) \cdot p(y_j)$ ; i.e., if and only if input symbols and output symbols are statistically independent of each other.

#### **Binary Symmetric Channels (BSC):**

The channel is called a 'Binary Symmetric Channel' or (BSC). It is one of the most common and widely used channels. The channel diagram of a BSC is shown in Fig 3.4. Here 'p' is called the error probability. For this channel we have:

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

In this case it is interesting to note that the equivocation, H(X|Y) = H(Y|X).



An interesting interpretation of the equivocation may be given if consider an idealized communication system with the above symmetric channel.



The observer is a noiseless channel that compares the transmitted and the received symbols. Whenever there is an error a \_ 1' is sent to the receiver as a correction signal and appropriate correction is effected. When there is no error the observer transmits a '0' indicating no change. Thus the observer supplies additional information to the receiver, thus compensating for the noise in the channel. Let us compute this additional information .With P (X=0) = P (X=1) = 0.5, we have: Probability of sending a "1" = Probability of error in the channel . Probability of error = P (Y=1|X=0).P(X=0) + P (Y=0|X=1).P(X=1) = p × 0.5 + p × 0.5 = p

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

 $\Box$  Probability of no error = 1 - p = q

Thus we have P(Z = 1) = p and P(Z = 0) = q

Accordingly, additional amount of information supplied is:

Thus the additional information supplied by the observer is exactly equal to the equivocation of the source. Observe that if  $\_p'$  and  $\_q'$  are interchanged in the channel matrix, the trans - information of the channel remains unaltered. The variation of the mutual information with the probability of error is shown in Fig 3.6(a) for P (X=0) = P (X=1) = 0.5. In Fig 4.6(b) is shown the dependence of the mutual information on the source probabilities.



#### **Binary Erasure Channels (BEC):**

**BEC** is one of the important types of channels used in digital communications. Observe that whenever an error occurs,y' theand symbolnodecisionwill be about the information but an immediate request will be made for retransmission, rejecting what have been received (ARQ techniques), thus ensuring 100% correct data recovery. Notice that this channel also is a symmetric channel and we have with P(X = 0) =

$$\Box I(X, Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y) = (1 - p) H(X) = q H(X)$$

 $\Box C = Max I(X, Y) = q bits / symbol.$ 

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

In this particular case, use of the equation I(X, Y) = H(Y) - H(Y | X) will not be correct, as H(Y) involves "y' and the information given by " y' is rejected at the receiver.

#### **Channel Capacity theorem**

#### Shannon's theorem: on channel capacity("coding Theorem")

It is possible, in principle, to device a means where by a communication system will transmit information with an arbitrary small probability of error, provided that the information rate  $R(=r \times I \ (X,Y)$ , where r is the symbol rate) is C' calledless than—chao capacity.

The technique used to achieve this objective is called coding. To put the matter more formally, the theorem is split into two parts and we have the following statements.

#### Positive statement:

—Given a source of M equally likely messages, with M >>1, which is generating information at a rate R, and a channel with a capacity C. If  $R \leq C$ , then there exists a coding technique such that the output of the source may be transmitted with a probability of error of receiving the message that can be made arbitrarily small.

This theorem indicates that for  $R \le C$  transmission may be accomplished without error even in the presence of noise. The situation is analogous to an electric circuit that comprises of only pure capacitors and pure inductors. In such a circuit there is no loss of energy at all as the reactors have the property of storing energy rather than dissipating.

#### Negative statement:

—Given the source of M equally likely messages with M >> 1, which is generating information at a rate R and a channel with capacity C. Then, if R > C, then the probability of error of receiving the message is close to unity for every set of M transmitted symbols.

This theorem shows that if the information rate R exceeds a specified value C, the error probability will increase towards unity as M increases. Also, in general, increase in the complexity of the coding results in an increase in the probability

of error. Notice that the situation is analogous to an electric network that is made up of pure resistors. In such a circuit,

whatever energy is supplied, it will be dissipated in the form of heat and thus is a —lossy network.

You can interpret in this way: Information is poured in to your communication channel. You should receive this without any loss. Situation is similar to pouring water into a tumbler. Once the tumbler is full, further pouring results in an over flow. You cannot pour water more than your tumbler can hold. Over flow is the loss.

Shannon defines — C the channel capacity of a communication channel as the maximum value of Transinformation, I(X, Y):

#### $C = \Delta Max I(X, Y) = Max [H(X) - H(Y|X)]$

The maximization in Eq (4.28) is with respect to all possible sets of probabilities that could be assigned to the input symbols. Recall the maximum power will be delivered to the load only when the load and the source are properly matched'. The device used for this matching p in a radio receiver, for optimum response, the impedance of the loud speaker will be matched to the impedance of the output power amplifier, through an output transformer.

This theorem is also known as —The Channel It may be stated in a different form as below:

 $R \leq C \text{ or } r_s H(S) \leq r_c I(X, Y)_{Max} \text{ or} \{ H(S)/T_s \} \leq \{ I(X, Y)_{Max}/T_c \}$ 

"If a discrete memoryless source with an alphabet 'S' has an entropy H(S) and produces symbols every 'T<sub>s</sub>' seconds; and a discrete memoryless channel has a capacity  $I(X,Y)_{Max}$  and is used once every T<sub>c</sub> seconds; then if

 $\frac{H(S)}{T_s} \square \frac{I(X,Y)_{Max}}{T_c}$ 

There exists a coding scheme for which the source output can be transmitted over the channel and be reconstructed with an arbitrarily small probability of error. The parameter  $C/T_c$  is called the critical rate. When this condition is satisfied with the equality sign, the system is said to be signaling at the critical rate. channel and reconstruct it with an arbitrarily small probability of error

#### EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

A communication channel, is more frequently, described by specifying the source probabilities P(X) & the conditional probabilities P(Y|X) rather than specifying the **JPM**. The

**CPM**, P(Y|X), is usually referred to *noise characteristic* as the 'of the channel. unless otherwise specified, we shall understand that the description of channel, the by matrix a a \_Channel diagram'CPM,P(Y/X).Thus,alwaysindiscretecommuni or by cationrefers to channel with pre-specified noise characteristics (i.e. with a given transition probability matrix, P(Y|X) the rate of information transmission depends on the source that drives the channel. Then, the maximum rate corresponds to a proper matching of the source and the channel. This ideal characterization of the source depends in turn on the transition probability characteristics of the given channel.

#### **Bandwidth-Efficiency: Shannon Limit:**

In practical channels, the noise power spectral density  $N_{\theta}$  is generally constant. If  $E_b$  is the transmitted energy per bit, then we may express the average transmitted power as:

#### S = Eb C

(C/B) is the "bandwidth efficiency" of the syste m. If C/B = 1, then it follows that  $E_b = N_0$ . This implies that the signal power equals the noise power. Suppose, B = B<sub>0</sub> for which, S = N, then Eq. (5.59) can be modified as:

That is, "the maximum signaling rate for a given S is 1.443 bits/sec/Hz in the bandwidth over which the signal power can be spread without its falling below the noise level".

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

#### 4.4 CYCLIC CODES

In coding theory, cyclic codes are linear block error-correcting codes that have convenient algebraic structures for efficient error detection and correction.

Let C be a linear code over a finite field  $GF(q)^n$  of block length *n*. C is called a cyclic code, if for every codeword  $c=(c_1,...,c_n)$  from *C*, the word  $(c_n,c_1,...,c_{n-1})$  in  $GF(q)^n$  obtained by a cyclic right shift of components is again a codeword. Same goes for left shifts. One right shift is equal to n-1 left shifts and vice versa. Therefore the linear code C is cyclic precisely when it is invariant under all cyclic shifts.

Cyclic Codes have some additional structural constraint on the codes. They are based on Galois fields and because of their structural properties they are very useful for error controls. Their structure is strongly related to Galois fields because of which the encoding and decoding algorithms for cyclic codes are computationally efficient.

#### **Cyclic code for correcting error:**

#### a) For correcting single error

The cyclic codes explicitly with error detection and correction. Cyclic codes can be used to correct errors, like Hamming codes as a cyclic codes can be used for correcting single error. Likewise, they are also used to correct double errors and burst errors. All types of error corrections are covered briefly in the further subsections.

The Hamming code has a generator polynomial  $g(x)=x^3+x+1$ . This polynomial has a zero in Galois extension field GF(8) at the primitive element a, and all codewords satisfy.

C(a)=0 Cyclic codes can also be used to correct double errors over the field GF(2). Blocklength will be n equal to  $2^{m}$ -1 and primitive elements a and  $a^{3}$  as zeros in the GF( $2^{m}$ ) because we are considering the case of two errors here, so each will represent one error. The received word is a polynomial of degree n-1 given as

where e(x) can have at most two nonzero coefficients corresponding to 2 errors.

Syndrome Polynomial, S(x) as the remainder of polynomial v(x) when divided by the

generator polynomial g(x) i.e.

 $S(x)=v(x) \mod g(x)=(a(x)g(x)+e(x)) \mod g(x)=e(x) \mod g(x)$  as  $(a(x)g(x)) \mod g(x)$  is zero

#### b) For correcting two errors

Let the field elements  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be the two error location numbers. If only one error occurs then

 $X_2$  is equal to zero and if none occurs both are zero.

And these two can be considered as two pair of equations in GF(2m) with two unknowns and

hence we can write

Hence if the two pair of nonlinear equations can be solved cyclic codes can used to correct two errors.

#### **Syndrome Calculator**

Let  $S_1 = v(\alpha)$  and  $S_3 = v(\alpha^3)$ 

These field elements are called "syndromes". Now because  $g(x)_{is}$  zero at primitive elements  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha^3$ , so we can write  $S_1 = e(\alpha)_{and} = S_3 = e(\alpha^3)$ . If say two errors occur, then

$$S_1 = \alpha^i + \alpha^{i'}$$
 and  $S_3 = \alpha^{3i} + \alpha^{3i'}$ 

If error polynomial e(X) is divided by generator polynomial g(X), the remainder is the syndrome polynomial S(X).

3

#### **Error Detection**

r (X) = c(X) + e(X) = q(X)g(X) + S(X)e(X) = c(X) + q(X)g(X) + S(X) = (f (X) + q(X))g(X) + S(X)

Investigate error detecting capability of cyclic code:

Assuming e(X) is a burst of length n - k or less, i.e., errors are confined to n - k or fewer consecutive positions;

e(X) can be expressed by e(X) = XjB(X), here B(X) is a polynomial of degree n - k - 1 or less;

*Xj* cannot divided by g(X), B(X) cannot divided by g(X) neither,  $e(X) = X^{ij}B(X)$  is NOT divisible by g(X);

Thus the syndrome polynomial is not equal to zero.

It means that a cyclic code Ccyc(n,k) can detect any error burst of length n - k or less. A cyclic code Ccyc(n; k) can also detect all the *end-around* error bursts of length n - k or less.

#### $e = (1 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 1)$ Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC) codes:

Cyclic redundancy .check codes are extremely well suited for "error detection". The two important reasons for this statement are,

(1) they can be designed to detect many combinations of likely errors.

(2) The implementation of both encoding and error detecting circuits is practical.

Accordingly, all error detecting codes used in practice, virtually, are of theCRC - type. In ann-bit received word if a contiguous sequence of \_b-bits' in which the first and the last bits and any number of intermediate bits are received in error, then we say aCRC "error burst' of length has occurred. Such an error burst may also include an end-shifted version of the contiguous sequence.

In any event, Binary (n, k)CRC codes are capable of detecting the following error patterns:

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

1. All CRC error bursts of length (n-k) or less.

2. A fraction of (1 - 2 (n - k - 1)) of CRC error bursts of length (n - k + 1).

3. A fraction (1-2(n-k)) of CRC error bursts of length greater than (n-k+1).

4. All combinations of  $(d \min - 1)$  or fewer errors.

5. All error patterns with an odd number of errors if the generator polynomial g (X) has an even number of non zero coefficients.

Generator polynomials of three CRC codes, internationally accepted as standards are listed below.

All three contain (1 + X) as a prime factor. The CRC-12 code is used when the character lengths is

6- bits. The others are used for 8-bit characters.

\* CRC-12 code: g (X) =  $1 + X + X^2 + X^3 + X^{11} + X^{12*}$ 

\*CRC-16 code: g (X) =  $1 + X^2 + X^{15} + X^{16}$ 

\*CRC-CCITT code:  $g(X) = 1 + X^5 + x^{12} + X^{26}$ .

**Definition 1** An (n, k) linear block code C is said to be cyclic if for every code word  $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_{n-1})$  in C, there is also a code word  $\mathbf{c}' = (c_{n-1}, c_0, \ldots, c_{n-2})$  that is also in C. ( $\mathbf{c}'$  is a cyclic shift of  $\mathbf{c}$ .)

It will be convenient to represent our codewords as *polynomials*. The codeword

$$\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$$

is represented by the polynomial

$$c(x) = c_0 + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

using the obvious one-to-one correspondence. A cyclic shift can therefore be represented as follows. Observe that

$$rc(x) = c_0 x + c_1 x + \dots + c_{n-1} x^n.$$

If we not take this product modulo  $x^n - 1$  we get

$$xc(x) \pmod{x^n - 1} = c_{n-1} + c_0 x + \dots + x_{n-2} x^{n-1}.$$

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

So multiplication by x in the ring  $GF(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$  corresponds to a cyclic shift. Furthermore, any power of x times a codeword yields a codeword (apply the definition recursively), so that, for example,

$$(c_{n-1}, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}) \leftrightarrow xc(x)$$

$$(c_{n-2}, c_{n-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{n-3}) \leftrightarrow x^2c(x)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}, c_0) \leftrightarrow x^{n-1}c(x)$$

where the arithmetic is done in the ring  $GF(q)[x]/(x^n-1)$ . Now observe that if we take an polynomial  $a(x) \in GF(q)[x]$  of the form

$$a(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1}$$

then

is simply a linear combination of cyclic shifts of c(x) and hence, must also be a codeword. Hence: a cyclic code is an ideal in  $GF(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$ . Because of what we know about ideals in  $GF(q)[x]/(x^n - 1)$  we can immediately make some observations about cyclic codes:

- A cyclic code has a generator polynomial g(x), which is the generator of the ideal. Let the degree of g be r, where r < n.
- Every code polynomial in the code can be expressed as a multiple of the generator.

$$c(x) = m(x)g(x),$$

where m(x) is the message polynomial. The degree of m is less than n - r.

The generator is a factor of x<sup>n</sup> - 1 in GF(q)[x].

**Example 1** We will consider cyclic codes of length 15 with binary coefficients. We need to find the factors of  $x^n - 1$  in some field. Observe that

$$15|2^4 - 1,$$

so we are dealing in the field GF(16). The conjugacy classes in GF(16) are

$$\begin{array}{c} \{1\} \leftrightarrow x+1 \\ \{\alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^4, \alpha^8\} \leftrightarrow 1+x+x^4 \\ \{\alpha^3, \alpha^6, \alpha^9, \alpha^{12}\} \leftrightarrow 1+x+x^2+x^3+x^4 \\ \{\alpha^5, \alpha^{10}\} \leftrightarrow 1+x+x^2 \\ \{\alpha^7, \alpha^{14}, \alpha^{13}, \alpha^{11}\} \leftrightarrow 1+x^3+x^4 \end{array}$$

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Thus

$$x^{15} - 1 = (x + 1)(1 + x + x^4)(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + x^4)(1 + x + x^2)(1 + x^3 + x^4)$$

So: degrees 1,2,4,4,4. If we want a generator of, say, degree 9, we could take

$$g(x) = (x+1)(1+x+x^4)(1+x+x^2+x^3+x^4)$$

If we want a generator of degree 5 we could take

 $g(x) = (x+1)(1+x+x^4)$ 

or

$$g(x) = (x+1)(1+x+x^2+x^3+x^4)$$

In fact, in this case, we can get generator polynomials of any degree from 1 to 15. So we have codes

$$(15, 0)(15, 1), \ldots, (15, 15)$$

A message sequence  $(m_0, m_1, \ldots, m_{k-1})$  (where k = n - r) corresponds to a message polynomial

$$m(x) = m_0 + \dots + m_{k-1}x^{k-1}$$

Then the message polynomial corresponding to m is

$$c_m(x) = m(x)g(x).$$



Taking the next step, we can go back to a matrix representation,

So we have a linear code, and can write the generator matrix corresponding to it. Note: G is  $k \times n.$ 

Let h(x) be parity check polynomial, that is a polynomial such that

$$x^n - 1 = g(x)h(x).$$

Since codewords are multiples of g(x), then for a codeword,

$$c(x)h(x) = m(x)g(x)h(x) = m(x)(x^n - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{x^n - 1}.$$

We let

$$s(x) = c(x)h(x) \pmod{x^n - 1}.$$

be the syndrome polynomial. If s(x) is identically zero, then c(x) is a codeword. Now let's put this in matrix form.

$$s(x) = c(x)h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i x^i \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j x^j \pmod{x^n - 1}.$$

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Performing the multiplication,

$$s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_i h_{((k-i))_n} \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Writing the last n - k of these out, we have

$$[s_k, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_{n-1}] = [c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}] \begin{bmatrix} h_k & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_1 & h_0 & & \\ & h_k & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_1 & h_0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & h_k & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_1 & h_0 \\ & & & & h_k & h_{k-1} & \cdots & h_1 & h_0 \end{bmatrix}^T = \mathbf{c} H^T.$$

#### LINEAR BLOCK CODES.

#### **Error-Control Coding**

Error-control coding techniques are used to detect and/or correct errors that occur in the message transmission in a digital communication system. The transmitting side of the error-control coding adds redundant bits or symbols to the original information signal sequence. The receiving side of the error-control coding uses these redundant bits or symbols to detect and/or correct the errors that occurred during transmission. The transmission coding process is known as *encoding*, and the receiving coding process is known as *decoding*.

There are two major classes in error-control code: block and convolutional. In block coding, successive blocks of K information (message) symbols are formed.

The coding algorithm then transforms each block into a codeword consisting of *n* symbols where n > k. This structure is called an (n,k) code. The ratiok/n is called the code rate. A key point is that each codeword is formed independently from other codewords.

An error-control code is a *linear code* if the transmitted signals are a linear function of the information symbols. The code is called a *systematic code* if the information symbols are transmitted without being altered. Most block codes are systematic, whereas most convolutional codes are nonsystematic.

Almost all codes used for error control are linear. The symbols in a code can be either binary or non-binary. Binary symbols are the familiar '0' and '1'.

#### **Linear Block Codes**

Linear block coding is a generic coding method. Other coding methods, such as Hamming and BCH codes, are special cases of linear block coding. The codeword

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

vector of a linear block code is a linear mapping of the message vector. The codeword x and the message m have the relationship  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{mG}$ 

where **G** is a *K*-by-*N* matrix and is known as the *generator matrix*.

Linear block code is called a systematic linear code if the generator matrix has the form

 $\mathbf{G} = [\mathbf{P} \mathbf{I} k]$ 

where **P** is an (n-k)-by-k matrix and **I**k is a k-by-k identity matrix. A systematic linear code renders a length k message into a length n codeword where the last k bits are exactly the original message and the first (n-k) bits are redundant. These redundant bits serve as parity-check digits.

# binils.com

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

#### 4.5 VITERBI ALGORITHM

ML algorithm is too complex to search all available pathes.

- End to end calculation.
- · Viterbi algorithm performs ML decoding by reducing its complexity.
- Eliminate least likely trellis path at each transmission stage.
- Reduce decoding complexity with early rejection of unlike pathes.

• Viterbi algorithm gets its efficiency via concentrating on suvival paths of the trellis.



#### Figure 4.5.1 Viterbi Algorithm

Example of viterbi Decoding:

Input data: m =1 1 0 1 1

Codeword: X = 11 01 01 00 01

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Received code: Z = 11 01 01 10 01



# Figure 4.5.2 Examples of viterbi Decoding CONVOLUTIONAL CODES.

\* Convolutional codes are widely used as channel codes in practical communication systems for error correction.

\* The encoded bits depend on the current k input bits and a few past input bits.

\* The main decoding strategy for convolutional codes is based on the widely used Viterbi algorithm.

\* Convolutional codes are commonly described using two parameters: the code rate and the constraint length. The code rate, k/n, is expressed as a ratio of the number of bits into the convolutional encoder (k) to the number of channel symbols output by the convolutional encoder (n) in a given encoder cycle.

\* The constraint length parameter, K, denotes the "length" of the convolutional encoder, i.e. how many k-bit stages are available to feed the combinatorial logic that produces the output symbols. Closely related to K is the parameter m, which can be thought of as the memory length of the encoder. A simple convolutional encoder is shown below(fig 3.1The information bits are fed in small groups of k-bits at a time to a shift register. The output encoded bits are obtained by modulo-2 addition (EXCLUSIVE-OR operation) of the input information bits and the contents of the shift registers which are a few previous information bits.

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING



A convolutional encoder with k=1, n=2 and r=1/2

#### **Figure 4.5.3 Convolutional Encoder**

The operation of a convolutional encoder can be explained in several but equivalent ways such as, by

- a) State diagram representation.
- b) Tree diagram representation.
- s.com c) Trellis diagram representation.
- *a)* State Diagram Representation:

A convolutional encoder may be defined as a finite state machine. Contents of the rightmost (K-1) shift register stages define the states of the encoder. So, the encoder in Fig. 4.5.3 has four states.

The transition of an encoder from one state to another, as caused by input bits, is depicted in the state diagram.Fig. 4.5.3 shows the state diagram of the encoder in Fig. 4.5.3.

A new input bit causes a transition from one state to another.

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING



State diagram representation for the encoder.

#### **Figure 4.5.4 State Diagram representation for the encoder**

*b*) Tree Diagram Representation:

The tree diagram representation shows all possible information and encoded sequences for the convolutional encoder. **Fig. 3.3** shows the tree diagram for the encoder in **Fig. 3.1**. The encoded bits are labeled on the branches of the tree. Given an nput sequence, the encoded sequence can be directly read from the tree. **Representing convolutional codes compactly: code trellis and state diagram:** State diagram



**Figure 4.5.5 Tree Diagram Representation** 

**EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING** 

Inspecting state diagram: Structural properties of convolutional codes:

- Each new block of k input bits causes a transition into new state
- Hence there are 2k branches leaving each state

• Assuming encoder zero initial state, encoded word for any input of k bits can thus be obtained. For instance, below for  $\mathbf{u}=(1\ 1\ 1\ 0\ 1)$ , encoded word $\mathbf{v}=(1\ 1,\ 1\ 0,\ 0\ 1,\ 0\ 1,\ 1\ 1,\ 1\ 0,\ 1\ 1,\ 1\ 1)$  is produced:

- encoder state diagram for (n,k,L)=(2,1,2) code - note that the number of states is 2L+1 = 8

Distance for some convolutional codes:



c) Trellis Diagram Representation:

The trellis diagram of a convolutional code is obtained from its state diagram. All state transitions at each time step are explicitly shown in the diagram to retain the time dimension, as is present in the corresponding tree diagram.

Usually, supporting descriptions on state transitions, corresponding input and output bits etc. are labeled in the trellis diagram.

It is interesting to note that the trellis diagram, which describes the operation of the encoder, is very convenient for describing the behavior of the corresponding decoder, especially when the famous "Viterbi"isfollowed. Algorithm (VA)

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING



Fig.. Trellis diagram for the encoder in Fig. 3.1

#### Figure 4.5.7 Trellis Diagram Representation

# 1/3 rate convolutional encoder has the following generator $g1=(1\ 0\ 0), g2=(1\ 0\ 1), g3=(1\ 1\ 1)$

i)Draw the encoder circuit corresponding to this code (3)

ii) Draw the code tree (3) iii) Draw the state Diagram (3)

v) Draw the trellis Diagram (3)

v)This code is used for transmission over a Awgn channel with hard decision decoder. Using viterbi algorithm

EC8395 COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING