# 2.8 Firing angle control

- 1. The firing instant for all the valves are determined at ground potential and the firing signals sent to individual thyristors by light signals through fibre-optic cables. The required gate power is made available at the potential of individual thyristor.
- 2. While a single pulse is adequate to turn-on a thyristor, the gate pulse generated must send a pulse whenever required, if the particular valve is to be kept in a conducting state.

The two basic firing schemes are

- 1. Individual Phase Control (IPC)
- Equidistant Pulse Control (EPC) 2.

# **Individual Phase Control (IPC)**

This was used in the early HVDC projects. The main feature of this scheme is that the firing pulse generation for each phase (or valve) is independent of each other and the firing pulses are rigidly synchronized with commutation voltages.

There are two ways in which this can be achieved NIS.COM

1. Constant α Control

# 2. Inverse Cosine Control

# Constant a Control

Six timing (commutation) voltages are derived from the converter AC bus via voltage transformers and the six gate pulses are generated at nominally identical delay times subsequent to the respective voltage zero crossings. The instant of zero crossing of a particular commutation voltage corresponds to  $\alpha = 0^{\circ}$  for that valve.



**Figure 3.4.1 Constant alpha control** 

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page95]

The delays are produced by independent delay circuits and controlled by a common control voltage V derived from the current controllers.

# **Inverse Cosine Control**

The six timing voltages (obtained as in constant  $\alpha$  control) are each phase shifted by 90° and added separately to a common control voltage V.



Figure 3.4.2 inverse codine control

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page95]

The zero crossing of the sum of the two voltages initiates the firing pulse for the particular valve is considered. The delay angle  $\alpha$  is nominally proportional to the inverse cosine of the control voltage. It also depends on the AC system voltage amplitude and shape.

The main advantage of this scheme is that the average DC voltage across the bridge varies linearly with the control voltage  $V_{\rm c}$  .

# **Drawbacks of IPC Scheme**

The major drawback of IPC scheme is the aggravation of the harmonic stability problem that was encountered particularly in systems with low short circuit ratios (less than 4). The harmonic instability, unlike instability in control systems, is a problem that is characterized by magnification of noncharacteristic harmonics in steady-state.

This is mainly due to the fact that any distortion in the system voltage leads to perturbations in the zero crossings which affect the instants of firing pulses in IPC scheme. This implies that even when the fundamental frequency voltage components are balanced, the firing pulses are not equidistant in steady-state. This in turn leads to the generation of noncharacteristic harmonics (harmonics of order  $h \neq np \pm 1$ ) in the AC

current which can amplify the harmonic content of the AC voltage at the converter bus. The problem of harmonic instability can be overcome by the following measures

- 1. Through the provision of synchronous condensers or additional filters for filtering out noncharacteristic harmonics.
- 2. Use of filters in control circuit to filter out noncharacteristic harmonics in the commutation voltages.
- The use of firing angle control independent of the zero crossings of the AC voltages. This is the most attractive solution and leads to the Equidistant Pulse Firing scheme.

# **Equidistant Pulse Control (EPC)**

The firing pulses are generated in steady-state at equal intervals of 1/pf, through a ring counter. This control scheme uses a phase locked oscillator to generate the firing pulses. Thre are three variations of the EPC scheme

- 1. Pulse Frequency Control (PFC)
- 2. Pulse Period Control

# 3. Pulse Phase Control (PPC) Pulse Frequency Control (PFC)

A Voltage Controlled Oscillator (VCO) is used, the frequency of which is determined by the control voltage  $V_c$  which is related to the error in the quantity (current, extinction angle or DC voltage) being regulated. The frequency in steady-state operation is equal to  $pf_o$  where  $f_o$  is the nominal frequency of the AC system. PFC system has an integral characteristic and has to be used along with a feedback control system for stabilization.

The Voltage Controlled Oscillator (VCO) consists of an integrator, comparator and a pulse generator.



Figure 3.4.3 Block diagram of PFC system

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page96] Download Binils Android App in Playstore Download Photoplex App The output pulses of the generator drive the ring counter and also reset the integrator. The instant  $(t_n)$  of the firing pulse is determined by

$$\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} K_1 (V_c + V_1) dt = V_3$$

where  $V_1$  is a bias (constant) voltage and  $V_3$  is proportional to the system period. In steady-state,  $V_c = 0$ , and from the above equation, we get

$$K_1 V_1 (t_n - t_{n-1}) = V_3$$

Since,  $t_n - t_{n-1} = 1/pf_o$ 

in steady-state, the gain  $K_1$  of the integrator is chosen as

$$K_1 = pf_o V_3 / V_1$$

The circuit does not incorporate frequency correction (when the system frequency deviates from  $f_o$ ). The frequency correction is obtained by deriving V<sub>3</sub> as



# Figure 3.4.4 Frequency correction for PFC

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page97]

## **Pulse Period Control**

It is similar to PFC except for the way in which the control voltage  $V_c$  is handled. The structure of the controller is the same, however,  $V_c$  is now summed with  $V_3$  instead of  $V_1$ . Thus, the instant  $t_n$  of the pulse generation is

$$\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} K_1 V_1 dt = V_3 + V_c$$
  
K<sub>1</sub> V<sub>1</sub> (t<sub>n</sub> - t<sub>n-1</sub>) = V<sub>3</sub> + V<sub>c</sub>

With  $V_c = 0$ , the interval between consecutive pulses, in steady-state, is exactly equal to  $1/pf_o$ .

The frequency correction in this scheme is obtained by either updating  $V_1$  in response to the system frequency variation or including another integrator in the CC or CEA controller.

# **Pulse Phase Control (PPC)**

An analog circuit is configured to generate firing pulses according to the following equation

$$\int_{t_{n-1}}^{t_n} K_1 V_1 dt = V_{cn} - V_{c(n-1)} + V_3$$

where  $V_{cn}$  and  $V_{c(n-1)}$  are the control voltages at the instants  $t_n$  and  $t_{n-1}$  respectively.

For proportional current control, the steady-state can be reached when the error of  $V_c$  is constant.

The major advantages claimed for PPC over PFC are (i) easy inclusion of  $\alpha$  limits by limiting V<sub>c</sub> as in IPC and (ii) linearization of control characteristic by including an inverse cosine function block after the current controller. Limits can also be incorporated into PFC or pulse period control system.

# **Drawbacks of EPC Scheme**

EPC Scheme has replaced IPC Scheme in modern HVDC projects; it has certain limitations which are

- 1. Under balanced voltage conditions, EPC results in less DC voltage compared to IPC. Unbalance in the voltage results from single phase to ground fault in the AC system which may persist for over 10 cycles due to stuck breakers. Under such conditions, it is desirable to maximize DC power transfer in the link which calls for IPC.
- 2. EPC Scheme also results in higher negative damping contribution to torsional oscillations when HVDC is the major transmission link from a thermal station.

# **Current and Extinction Angle Control**

The current controller is invariably of feedback type which is of PI type.



# Figure 3.4.5 Block diagram of CURRENT CONTROLLER

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page99]

The extinction angle controller can be of predictive type or feedback type with IPC control. The predictive controller is considered to be less prone to commutation failure and was used in early schemes. The feedback control with PFC type of Equidistant Pulse Control overcomes the problems associated with IPC.

The extinction angle, as opposed to current, is a discrete variable and it was felt the feedback control of gamma is slower than the predictive type. The firing pulse generation is based on the following equation

$$0 = \int_{-\pi+\delta_{n-1}}^{\omega_n} e_{cj} d(\omega t) + 2X_c I_d$$

where  $e_{cj}$  is the commutation voltage across valve j and  $t_n$  is the instant of its firing.

In general, the prediction of firing angle is based on the equation

$$B_j = \gamma_{ref} + \mu_j$$

where  $\mu_j$  is the overlap angle of value j, which is to be predicted based on the current knowledge of the commutation voltage and DC current.

Under large disturbances such as a sudden dip in the AC voltage, signals derived from the derivative of voltage or DC current aid the advancing of delay angle for fast recovery from commutation failures.

# 2.4 Converter bridge characteristics

# A) Rectifier:

The rectifier has three modes of operation.

- 1) First mode: Two and three valve conduction mode (  $\mu < 60^{\circ}$  )
- 2) Second mode: Three valve conduction mode only for  $\alpha < 30^{\circ}$  ( $\mu = 60^{\circ}$ )
- 3) Third mode: Three and four valve conduction mode  $\alpha \geq 30^{\rm o}$  (  $60^{\rm o} \leq \mu \leq 120^{\rm o}$  )

As the DC current continues to increase, the converter operation changes over from mode 1 to 2 and finally to mode 3.

The DC voltage continues to decrease until it reaches zero.

For  $\alpha \ge 30^{\circ}$ , mode 2 is bypassed.

For Modes 1 and 3, we have

$$\frac{V_d}{V_{do}} = \cos\alpha - \frac{I_d}{2I_s}$$
$$\frac{V_d}{V_{do}} = \sqrt{3}\cos(\alpha - 30^\circ) - \frac{3I_d}{2I_s}$$

The voltage and current characteristics are linear with different slopes in these cases.

For mode 2,  $\mu = 60^{\circ}$ ,  $\mu$  is constant, so the characteristics are elliptical and is given by

$$\left(\frac{|V_{d}^{\dagger}|}{\cos\frac{\mu}{2}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{I_{d}^{\dagger}}{\sin\frac{\mu}{2}}\right)^{2} = 1$$
  
where,  $V_{d}^{\dagger} = \frac{V_{d}}{V_{do}}$  and  $I_{d}^{\dagger} = \frac{I_{d}}{2I_{s}}$ 

# **B) Inverter:**

The inverter characteristics are similar to the rectifier characteristics. However, the operation as an inverter requires a minimum commutation margin angle during which the voltage across the valve is negative. Hence the operating region of an inverter is different from that for a rectifier.

So, the margin angle ( $\xi$ ) has different relationship to  $\gamma$  depending on the range of operation which are

www.binils.com for Anna University | Polytechnic and Schools

First Range:	$\beta < 60^{\circ}$ and $\xi = \gamma$
Second Range:	$60^{\rm o} < \beta < 90^{\rm o}$ and $\xi$ =60° – $\mu$ = $\gamma$ -( $\beta$ -60° )
Third Range:	$\beta > 90^{\circ}$ and $\xi = \gamma - 30^{\circ}$

In the inverter operation, it is necessary to maintain a certain minimum margin angle  $\xi_o$  which results in 3 sub-modes of the 1<sup>st</sup> mode which are

### Mode 1

1(a) 
$$\beta < 60^{\circ}$$
 for values of  $\mu < (60^{\circ} - \xi_{\circ})$ 

The characteristics are linear defined by

 $V_d = \cos \gamma_{\sigma} I_d$ 

1(b)  $60^{\circ} < \beta < 90^{\circ}$  for

 $\mu = 60^{\circ} - \xi_o = 60^{\circ} - \gamma_o = constant$ 

The characteristics are elliptical.

1(c)  $90^{\circ} < \beta < 90^{\circ} + \xi_{\circ}$  for values of  $\mu$  in the range

 $V_{d}^{\dagger} = \cos(\gamma_{o}^{+} 30^{\circ}) - I_{d}^{\dagger}$ 

 $60^o-\xi_o\leq\mu\leq60^o$ 

The characteristics in this case are linear and defined by IIS.COM

## Mode 2

For  $\mu > 60^\circ$  corresponding to  $\beta > 90^\circ + \gamma_o$ 

The characteristics again are linear but with a different slope and is defined by

 $V_d = \sqrt{3} \cos \gamma_{\sigma} 3I_d$ 

In the normal operation of the converter  $I_d^{\perp}$  is in the range of 0.08 to 0.1.

# Characteristics of a twelve pulse converter



### Figure 2.4.1 12 Pulse converter

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page-38]

As long as the AC voltages at the converter bus remain sinusoidal (with effective filtering), the operation of one bridge is unaffected by the operation of the other bridge connected in series. The region of rectifier operation can be divided into five modes as Mode 1: 4 and 5 valve conduction

$$0 < \mu < 30^{\circ}$$

Mode 2: 5 and 6 valve conduction

 $30^{\circ} < \mu < 60^{\circ}$ 

Mode 3: 6 valve conduction

$$0 < \alpha < 30^{\circ}$$
,  $\mu = 60^{\circ}$ 

Mode 4: 6 and 7 valve conduction

 $60^{\circ} < \mu < 90^{\circ}$ 

Mode 5: 7 and 8 valve conduction

 $90^{\circ} < \mu < 120^{\circ}$ 

The second mode is a continuation of the first and similarly fifth is a continuation of the fourth.

The equivalent circuit of the twelve pulse converter is the series combination of the equivalent circuits for the two bridges. This is because the two bridges are connected in series on the DC side and in parallel on the AC side. The current waveforms in the primary winding of the star/star and star/delta connected transformers and the line current injected into the converter bus are shown.



# Figure 2.4.2 Waveforms of current in 12 pulse converter

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page-39]

# 3.5 Current and extinction angle control

The current controller is invariably of feedback type which is of PI type.



# Figure 3.5.1 Block diagram of current controller

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page99]

The extinction angle controller can be of predictive type or feedback type with IPC control. The predictive controller is considered to be less prone to commutation failure and was used in early schemes. The feedback control with PFC type of Equidistant Pulse Control overcomes the problems associated with IPC.

The extinction angle, as opposed to current, is a discrete variable and it was felt the feedback control of gamma is slower than the predictive type. The firing pulse generation is based on the following equation

$$0 = \int_{-\pi+\delta_{n-1}}^{\omega_{t_n}} e_{cj} d(\omega t) + 2X_c I_d$$

where  $e_{cj}$  is the commutation voltage across valve j and  $t_n$  is the instant of its firing.

In general, the prediction of firing angle is based on the equation

$$\mathbf{B}_{j} = \gamma_{ref} + \mu_{j}$$

where  $\mu_j$  is the overlap angle of valve j, which is to be predicted based on the current knowledge of the commutation voltage and DC current.

Under large disturbances such as a sudden dip in the AC voltage, signals derived from the derivative of voltage or DC current aid the advancing of delay angle for fast recovery from commutation failures.



Figure 3.5.2 Detailed block diagram of current controller

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page99] In constant current control, the power is varied by varying the voltage. There is an allowed range of current settings within which the current varies.

# Inverter Extinction angle control

For the extinction angle control for the inverter, a technique similar to the current controller at the rectifier is employed. However, the approach is complicated due to the measurement of gamma. For the measurement of the gamma, a direct method would be to measure the valve voltage VV, and the gamma value would correspond to the period that the VV is negative. However, direct measurement of the VV is not always practically nor economically feasible, and alternative or indirect techniques to either measure or predict gamma are used. Furthermore, since there are 6 (or 12) valves in a converter, it is necessary to obtain the minimum value of the gamma of all the valves.

# Measurement of Gamma - Approach 1

One method uses the moment of the firing of the out-going valve and the detection of current zero in that valve to determine the value of the overlap angle (Figures 4-9 and 4-10). The ac commutation voltage zero cross-over point, with the voltage going positive, then provides the end of the gamma angle Hence, the ignition angle can be calculated

from a knowledge of the period from the moment of firing of the out-going valve to the moment of the commutation voltage reversal, going positive i.e.

# Prediction of Gamma - Approach 2

In this method, a prediction of the remaining commutation voltage-time area after commutation is made, and it is maintained to be larger than a specified minimum necessary for successful commutation. The prediction is approximate, but to increase its precision, a feedback loop is employed which measures the error and feeds it back. The choice of the voltage-time area is justified since commutation of a valve is a function of the remaining commutation voltage-time area rather than just the remaining time period alone. The predictor continuously calculates (by a triangular approximation) the total remaining voltage-time area if firing would occur at that instant. Since the predictor is common to all the valves in one 6-pulse converter, it operates for a period of 60 degrees

per valve. DINIS.COM

# 3.8 Control of VSC based HVDC link

VSC-HVDC between two grids and to isolated loads to analyze the designed control system, the system shown in Figure is simulated and the control system is implemented using the electromagnetic transient simulation program PSCAD/EMTDC. Simulation results are presented in this chapter. The, load changes and disturbances in supplying network and supplying the passive loads. As shown in Figure all simulations have been performed with two two-level converters. The converter bridge valves are represented as a turn-on IGBT and an anti-parallel diode with ideal switches in PSCAD/EMTDC models. State losses and switching losses are neglected. The ac system voltages at both sides are 33kV and 150kV, respectively. The rated dc voltage is 160kV, the set reference value of the dc voltage is 160kV, the rated power is 60MW, the reactors are 0.15p.u., the switch frequency used in the VSC is 2000Hz, the fundamental frequency of the ac systems is 50Hz. Two dc capacitors ( $2Cdc = 37:6^{1}F$ ) corresponding to the time constant of 4ms are used on the dc side of the converter. The outer control loop implemented will depend on the application. If the load is an established ac system, then the VSC-HVDC can control ac voltage, reactive power and active power Here, Two different control strategies are implemented to evaluate their performances:

# **Strategy 1:**

converter 1 controls dc voltage and ac voltage. converter 2 controls the active power and ac voltage

# **Strategy 2:**

converter 1 controls dc voltage and reactive power.

converter 2 controls the active power and reactive power.

On the other hand, if the load is a passive system, then VSC-HVDC can control frequency and ac voltage. Here, the same control scheme is used, that is, the dc voltage controller and the ac voltage controller are used at converter 1, and the frequency controller and ac voltage controller are used at converter 2, when isolated loads are connected at the converter 2 side Dc link control between two grids by using strategy 1 three phase ac voltages and currents are obtained at both sides. The dc voltage is a

constant equal to the set reference value. In fact dc voltage includes § 0:5% ripple at steady state due to the use of small capacitors on the dc side. The reference voltage es and the carrier waves at both sides are also illustrated. The high-frequency ripple on the ac voltages is due tothe switching of the converter valves. This ripple is relatively high in the simulation for two reasons: the harmonic <sup>-</sup> lters on grid-side of the converter reactors have not been optimized. - the supplying grid was modelled in insu±cient detail to get a correct response for the harmonic frequencies involved. Both capacitance and resistance of the system have not been included, leading most likely to an overestimation of the voltage distortion. Especially the various contributions to the damping are hard to model correctly. The limitation and correct modelling of harmonic distortion due to voltage-source converters are beyond the scope of this thesis. Ac voltage controller In order to test the operation of the VSC-HVDC as an ac voltage controller, a test case has been studied. The setting of the ac voltage controller for converter 2 is instantaneously increased from 0.95 p.u. to 1.05 p.u.. The set active power °ow is 0.3 p.u., which is transmitted from converter 1 to converter 2 and is not changed when the step is applied.

It can be seen that a step of the ac voltage reference value causes a change of VSC-HVDC operating point from reactive power absorption to generation. From the simulation results, it can be concluded that when the ac voltage reference at converter 2 is equal to 0.95p u., the converter 2 operates on the active and reactive power absorption states that absorb active power 0.3 p.u. from the dc link and reactive power 0.7 p.u. from the ac system. As soon as the step change in the reference voltage is applied around 120ms, the ac voltage is increased to the ac voltage reference value 1.05 p.u. after approximately 2 cycles. From the phase voltages at both sides, it can be seen that the step change does not a®ect the phase voltages at converter 1 side. The phase currents at converter 2 are displaced by 180 degree after the step change is applied and have an overcurrent duration of about 0.25 cycle. The phase currents at converter 1 have some oscillations. It should be noted that the response of the dc voltage is fast due to using the small capacitors and the dc voltage can be maintained to the set reference value except some variations about 10ms during the step change of the ac voltage. If a more constant dc voltage is required, the size of the capacitors should be increased.

# **3.7 Power control**

The current order is obtained as the quantity derived from the power order by dividing it by the direct voltage. The limits on the current order are modified by the voltage dependent current order limiter (VDCOL). The objective of VDCOL is to prevent individual thyristors from carrying full current for long periods during commutation failures.

By providing both converter stations with dividing circuits and transmitting the power order from the leading station in which the power order is set to the trailing station, the fastest response to the DC line voltage changes is obtained without undue communication requirement.

The figure below shows the basic power controller used.



[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page102]

When the DC line resistance is large and varies considerably e.g., when the overhead line is very long and exposed to large temperature variations, the DC line voltage drop cannot be compensated individually in the two stations. This problem can be solved by using a current order calculated in one substation only and transmitting its output to the other substation.

# 3.1 Principles of DC link control

The major advantage of a HVDC link is rapid controllability of transmitted power through the control of firing angles of the converters. Modern converter controls are not only fast, but also very reliable and they are used for protection against line and converter faults.

The control of power in a DC link can be achieved through the control of current or voltage. From minimization of loss considerations, we need to maintain constant voltage in the link and adjust the current to meet the required power.



Figure 3.1.1 Steady state equivalent circuit of a 2 terminal DC link

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page-84]

Consider the steady state equivalent circuit of a two terminal DC link. This is based on the assumption that all the series connected bridges in both poles of a converter station are identical and have the same delay angles. Also the number of series connected bridges  $(n_b)$  in both stations (rectifier and inverter) are the same.



Figure 3.1.2 Schematic of DC link showing transformer ratios

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page-84]

The voltage sources  $E_{dr}$  and  $E_{di}$  are defined by

 $E_{dr} = (3\sqrt{2}/\pi) n_b E_{vr} \cos\alpha_r$  (1)

 $E_{di} = (3\sqrt{2}/\pi) n_b E_{vi} \cos\gamma_i$  ------ (2)

where  $E_{vr}$  and  $E_{vi}$  are the line to line voltages in the valve side windings of the rectifier and inverter transformer respectively. From the above figure these voltages can be obtained by

$$E_{vr} = \frac{N_{sr}E_{r}}{N_{pr}T_{r}} , \qquad E_{vi} = \frac{N_{si}E_{i}}{N_{pi}T_{i}} \quad ---- (3)$$

where  $E_r$  and  $E_i$  are the AC (line to line) voltages of the converter buses on the rectifier and inverter side.  $T_r$  and  $T_i$  are the OFF-nominal tap ratios on the rectifier and inverter side.

Combining equations (1), (2) and (3),

where  $A_r$  and  $A_i$  are constants.

The steady-state current I<sub>d</sub> in the DC link is obtained as

$$I_d = \frac{(E_{dr} - E_{di})}{R_{cr} + R_d - R_{ci}}$$

Substituting equations (4) and (5) in the above equation, we get

$$I_{d} = \frac{(A_{r}E_{r}/T_{r})\cos\alpha_{r} - (A_{i}E_{i}/T_{i})\cos\gamma_{i}}{R_{cr} + R_{d} - R_{ci}}$$

The control variables in the above equation are  $T_r$ ,  $T_i$  and  $\alpha_r$ ,  $\beta_i$ . However, for maintaining safe commutation margin, it is convenient to consider  $\gamma_i$  as control variable instead of  $\beta_i$ .

As the denominator in the final equation is small, even small changes in the voltage magnitude  $E_r$  or  $E_i$  can result in large changes in the DC current, the control variables are held constant. As the voltage changes can be sudden, it is obvious that manual control of converter angles is not feasible. Hence, direct and fast control of current by varying  $\alpha_r$  or  $\gamma_r$  in response to a feedback signal is essential.

While there is a need to maintain a minimum extinction angle of the inverter to avoid commutation failure, it is economical to operate the inverter at Constant Extinction Angle (CEA) which is slightly above the absolute minimum required for the commutation margin. This results in reduced costs of the inverter stations, reduced converter losses and

reactive power consumption. However, the main drawback of CEA control is the negative resistance characteristics of the converter which makes it difficult to operate stably when the AC system is weak (low short-circuit ratios). Constant DC Voltage (CDCV) control or Constant AC Voltage (CACV) control are the alternatives that could be used at the inverter.

Under normal conditions, the rectifier operates at Constant Current (CC) control and the inverter at the CEA control.

The power reversal in the link can take place by the reversal of the DC voltage. This is done by increasing the delay angle at the station initially operating as a rectifier, while reducing the delay angle at the station initially operating as the inverter. Thus, it is necessary to provide both CEA and CC controllers at both terminals.

The feedback control of power in a DC link is not desirable because

- 1) At low DC voltages, the current required is excessive to maintain the required level of power. This can be counterproductive because of the excessive requirements of the reactive power, which depresses voltage further.
- 2) The constant power characteristic contributes to negative damping and degrades dynamic stability.

# www.binils.com

# **3.6 Starting and stopping of DC link Energization and Deenergization of a Bridge:**

Consider N series connected bridges at a converter station. If one of the bridges is to be taken out of service, there is need to not only block, but bypass the bridge. This is because of the fact that just blocking the pulses does not extinguish the current in the pair of valves that are left conducting at the time of blocking. The continued conduction of this pair injects AC voltage into the link which can give rise to current and voltage oscillations due to lightly damped oscillatory circuit in the link formed by smoothing reactor and the line capacitance. The transformer feeding the bridge is also subjected to DC magnetization when DC current continues to flow through the secondary windings.

The bypassing of the bridge can be done with the help of a separate bypass valve or by activating a bypass pair in the bridge (two valves in the same arm of the bridge). The bypass valve was used with mercury arc valves where the possibility of arc backs makes it impractical to use bypass pairs. With thyristor valves, the use of bypass pair is the practice as it saves the cost of an extra valve.



# **Figure 3.6.1 Converter bridge with isolators**

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page100]

With the selection of bypass pair 1 and 4, the commutation from valve 2 to 4 is there, but the commutation from valve 3 to valve 5 is prevented. In the case of a predetermined choice of the bypass path, the time lapse between the blocking command and the current transfer to bypass path can vary from  $60^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$  for a rectifier bridge. In the inverter, there is no time lag involved in the activation of the bypass pair. The voltage waveforms for the rectifier and inverter during de-energisation are shown below where the overlap is neglected.



# Figure 3.6.2 Voltage waveform during de-energisation for rectifier and inverter

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page101]

The current from bypass pair is shunted to a mechanical switch  $S_1$ . With the aid of the isolators S, the bridge can be isolated. The isolator pair S and switch  $S_1$  are interlocked such that one or both are always closed.

The energisation of a blocked bridge is done in two stages. The current is first diverted from  $S_1$  to the bypass pair. For this to happen  $S_1$  must generate the required arc voltage and to minimize this voltage, the circuit inductance must be small. In case the bypass pair fails to take over the current,  $S_1$  must close automatically if the current in that does not become zero after a predetermined time interval. AC breakers with sufficient arc voltage, but with reduced breaking capacity are used as switch  $S_1$ .

In the second stage of energisation, the current is diverted from the bypass pair. For the rectifier, this can take place instantaneously neglecting overlap. The voltage waveforms for this case are shown below.





[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page101]

# **Start-Up of DC Link:**

There are two different start-up procedures depending upon whether the converter firing controller provides a short gate pulse or long gate pulse. The long gate pulse lasts nearly  $120^{\circ}$ , the average conduction period of a valve.

# Start-up with long pulse firing:

- 1. Deblock inverter at about  $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$
- 2. Deblock rectifier at  $\alpha = 85^{\circ}$  to establish low direct current
- 3. Ramp up voltage by inverter control and the current by rectifier control.

# Start-up with short pulse firing:

- 1. Open bypass switch at one terminal
- 2. Deblock that terminal and load to minimum current in the rectifier mode
- 3. Open bypass switch at the second terminal and commutate current to the bypass pair
- 4. Start the second terminal also in the rectifier mode
- 5. The inverter terminal is put into the inversion mode
- 6. Ramp up voltage and current.

The voltage is raised before raising the current. This permits the insulation of the line to be checked before raising the power. The ramping of power avoids stresses on the generator shaft. The switching surges in the line are also reduced.

The required power ramping rate depends on the strength of the AC system. Weaker systems require fast restoration of DC power for maintaining transient stability.

# 3.3 System Control Hierarchy

The control function required for the HVDC link is performed using the hierarchical control structure.



Figure 3.3.1 Hierarchical control structure for DC link

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page93]

The master controller for a bipole is located at one of the terminals and is provided with the power order ( $P_{ref}$ ) from the system controller (from energy control centre). It also has other information such as AC voltage at the converter bus, DC voltage etc. The master controller transmits the current order ( $I_{ref}$ ) to the pole control units which in turn provide a firing angle order to the individual valve groups (converters). The valve group or converter control also oversees valve monitoring and firing logic through the optical interface. It also includes bypass pair selection logic, commutation failure protection, tap changer control, converter start/stop sequences, margin switching and valve protection circuits.

# **Master Controller**

• The master controller for a bipole is located at one of the terminals and is provided with the power order (Pref ) from the system controlle.

- The master controller transmits the current order (Iref ) to the pole control units which in turn provide a firing angle order to the individual valve groups (converters).
- The master controller which oversees the complete bipole includes the functions of frequency control, power modulation, AC voltage and reactive power control and torsional frequency damping control.

# **Pole control units**

- Provides the firing angle order to individual valve group.
- The pole control incorporated pole protection, DC line protection and optional converter paralleling and deparalleling sequences.

# The valve group or converter control:

- The valve group or converter control also oversees valve monitoring and firing logic through the optical interface.
- It also includes bypass pair selection logic, commutation failure protection, tap changer control, converter start/stop sequences, margin switching and valve protection circuits.

The pole control incorporated pole protection, DC line protection and optional converter paralleling and deparalleling sequences. The master controller which oversees the complete bipole includes the functions of frequency control, power modulation, AC voltage and reactive power control and torsional frequency damping control.



# Figure 3.3.2 Block diagram of pole and converter controllers

[Source: "HVDC Power Transmission Systems" by K.P.Padiyar, page94]

The current or extinction angle controller generates a control signal  $V_c$  which is related to the firing angle required. The firing angle controller generates gate pulses in response to the control signal  $V_c$ . The selector picks the smaller of the  $\alpha$  determined by the current and CEA controllers.

# www.binils.com